

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/552,233	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MURRAY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jaison P. Thomas	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jaison P. Thomas.

(2) Mark Reichel.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 September 2009

**Time:** 9:45 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*12, 16 and 18*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Rejoinder of withdrawn independent claims w/ amendment to independent claims to recite "conductive material doped" limitation of claim 1.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)